DRAFT REGIONAL SPATIAL STRATEGY (RSS)

FOR THE SOUTH WEST (SW)

Representations form for your comments

Panel Secretariat Office Use Only Representation No: Comment No: Date Received: Acknowledged:

If you wish to comment on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West, please follow these stages:

- 1. Complete your details in Section A of Page 1 of the representations form.
- 2. Include your organisation/name/page number in Section B on Page 2 and on additional completed pages.
- 3. Complete a separate Page 2 of the form for each part of the Draft RSS for SW you wish to comment upon.
- 4. Indicate which chapter/policy/paragraph you would like to comment upon also in Section B.
- 5. Provide a short summary, give reasons for supporting/objecting and set out your changes in Section C.
- 6. Email your completed forms to representations@southwesteip.co.uk by the end of the consultation period.

Additional representations forms are available from the EiP Website at <u>www.southwesteip.co.uk</u> or from the Panel Assistant at the Panel Secretarial Office. For any general enquiries please use the Office address below.

The Panel would prefer all responses to be typed in black and e-mailed to <u>representations@southwesteip.co.uk</u> - it would be helpful if your representations were submitted electronically and only once – unless requested there is no specific need to also send a hard copy response. To ensure your comments are taken into account the Panel would encourage those making representations to return, at the earliest opportunity, the completed forms to the Panel Secretary to arrive at the Panel Secretariat Office by **5.00pm on Wednesday 30 August 2006**.

Please note that all comments will be available for the public to read and therefore they cannot be treated as confidential. A summary of your comments provided on the form will be put on the EiP website in due course.

This consultation is being conducted in accordance with the 'Code of Practice on Written Consultation', issued by the Cabinet Office in November 2000. Any procedural observations or complaints about this consultation should be sent to Martin Bridgman, RSS Advisor, Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, Eland House, Bressenden Place, London SW1P 5DU.

Section A		
Comments sub	mitted by	Agent (if appropriate)
Organisation: Surname Title/first name: Address:	Salisbury District Council Meeker Mr J The Planning Office 61, Wyndham Road Salisbury	Organisation: Surname Title/first name: Address:
Post Code: Email: Tel: Fax:	SP1 3AH jmeeker@salisbury.gov.uk 01722 434396 01722 434247	Post Code: Email: Tel: Fax:
Panel Secretari South West RSS 2, Rivergate Temple Quay Bristol BS1 6EH	SEIP	Panel Secretariat Office General e-mail: enquiries@southwesteip.co.uk Telephone enquiries: 0117 900 1814 Responses e-mail: representations@southwesteip.co.uk

Please do not return the representations forms to the South West Regional Assembly.

Signature:

Date:

.....

.....

Please ensure Section A is completed and e-mail all your completed representations forms to the Panel Secretariat's Office by 5.00 pm on Wednesday 30 August 2006 at <u>representations@southwesteip.co.uk</u>

Organisation Salisbury District Con	uncil	NamePaJohn Meeker1		Page Number 1
I am SUPPORTING	:he Draft Reç	gional Spatial Strate	egy for the South West (please sp	pecify)
Chapter (section):	3 P	Page: 32-38	Policy Reference or Parage	raph Number: DP A-C

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: Development Policies A-D

Please use this space to assist the EIP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 The overall development policies (i.e Development Policies A-C) of the RSS – in terms of development distribution on the basis of role and function of settlements - is supported
 Please use this space to explain to the EIP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
 The decision of the Regional Assembly to move away from excessive focus on a small number of Principal Urban Areas is welcomes. The region has a range of strategically significant centres which perform differing roles based on their respective scales.
 The policy framework (and development levels set out in Section 4 of the RSS) makes it clear that larger centres such as the West of England, Plymouth and Cheltenham/Cloucester will be the main focal points for the quantum of development growth. However, the inclusion of other centres – in particular Barnstaple, Yeovil and Salisbury – recognises that these (and the other named places) serve the needs of large rural catchment areas within the region.
 This recognition will support the policy aim of reducing longer distance travel for goods and services by individuals and will send out clear market signals that ongoing investment in these locations is worthwhile, being underpinned by balanced housing and employment growth.

A small area of additional policy would be useful to guide LDF policy development in respect of the large number of very small communities within the region. It will be essential that the definition of what constitutes a Development Policy C settlement is defined (as clearly as it can be without being prescriptive) and that this is consistently applied.

Organisation Salisbury District Council	Name John Meeker		Page Number 2
I am OPPOSING the Draft Region	al Spatial Strategy fo	or the South West (please spec	ify)
Chapter (section): 4 P	age: 86 	Policy Reference or Parag	raph Number: 4.3.15

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 4.3.15

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 The draft text in respect of Salisbury is factually incorrect in some areas and Salisbury District Council feels that the text could reflect a more up to date position with regard to the opportunities which the Vision for Salisbury exercise has the potential to deliver
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
The text in paragraph 4.3.15 provides a very basic analysis of Salisbury which does not relay the emerging outcomes of the Vision for Salisbury and should therefore be replaced.
In its current form the text overplays the significance of the AONB given that the boundary is located some way away fromm the city limits.
The council would obviously seek to retain the references to the constraints imposed by the landscape setting and the SAC designated River Avon.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.
The council will be in a position to propose a form of wording at the turn of the year which reflects the outcome of the visioning exercise and it would be prudent for the RSS to convey this. In the mean time the wording below is proposed
TO BE PROVIDED FOLLOWING AGREEMENT BY SDC CABINET ON 6/9/06

Organisation		Nam			Page Number
Salisbury District Cour	ncil	Johr	n Meeker		3
I am OPPOSING the I	Draft Reg	gional Spat	ial Strategy	or the South West (please s	pecify)
I am OPPOSING the I Chapter (section):	Draft Reg		ial Strategy	or the South West (please s	

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 4.5.1 - 4.5.6 and Table 4.1

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

The position with regard to housing numbers remains unclear in light of emerging guidance in PPS3 regarding the treatment of windfall housing development.

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Draft Planning Policy Statement 3 (PPS3) requires local authorities to allocate land to achieve at least a 5 years supply (and preferably 15 years) in their LDFs **taking into account a windfall allowance only where it is not possible to allocate sufficient land.** It is unclear within the RSS (in light of this emerging guidance whether the proposed housing requirements are a total development requirement or whether these amounts are only those to come forward from allocations – in effect treating windfall as a supplemental component. Concern exists that, if the latter understanding is applied Salisbury District (The Salisbury Housing Market Area) may end up providing around 625 new dwelling per year – in effect 35% more housing than was originally expected.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

The EiP panel should establish whether the housing numbers apportioned to each district/borough provide for a component of windfall (which is understood to be the case) and to provide assurance in the form of additional text which can be used to inform LDFs that allocations, plus up to date windfall projections (based on urban capacity work) should total the levels of development proposed in Table 4.1. This will be essential to ensure that development levels are in line with what the the majority of districts understood to be the case.

Organisation Salisbury District Cour	ncil	Nam John	e Meeker	Page Number
Callobary District Coal		001111	Meener	
I am OPPOSING the I	Draft Reg	ional Spati	al Strategy	y for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	3	Page:	39-41	Policy /Para Number: 3.6.4-3.6.8 and Development Policy D

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 3.6.4-3.6.8 and Development Policy D	graph Number: 3.6.4-3.6.8 and Development Policy D
--	--

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

The RSS does not provide sufficent guarantees regarding the delivery of funding for major infrastructure to catalyse development of key sites

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

The need to frontload infrastructure provision is supported as a means to catalyse development which will be essential to promote timely delivery of larger development schemes. However, successive failures of national government to allocate sufficient resources – and the reality that resources will never meet all needs - will mean that alternative solutions will need to be found. The proposed Regional Infrastructure Fund is a positive measure, however it remains to be seen whether this will be a) deliverable (legally), and b) able to have sufficient funding capacity to do significantly more than what is funded from the Regional Funding Allocation.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Organisation Salisbury District Cou	ncil	Nar Joh	ne n Meeker	Page Number 5
I am SUPPORTING th	ne Draf	t Regional S	Spatial Strate	egy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	3	Page:	43 & 45	Policy Ref / Para No: Development Policies E and G

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: Development Policies E and G

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

The policies are generally sound, but minor alterations are suggested between policies E and G

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

The mentioning of 'Secure by Design' principles in point 8 of Policy G on Sustainable Construction is a non-sequitor in planning policy terms. It does not tie in directly with sustainable construction, as its emphasis is solely on community safety. It should therefore be mentioned back at Policy E - High Quality Design

There is surprise that the 2nd half of Policy E (High Quality Design) which talks about multiple uses for new and existing public buildings makes no mention of inclusive access, i.e., designing in disabled access from an early stage or sensitively retro-fitting it into existing buildings. Inclusivity (as highlighted in section 10 of the RSS) does not appear to have been caried forward in this case.

Organisation Salisbury District Coun	cil	Nam Johr	ie i Meeker	Page Number 6
I am OPPOSING the D)raft Re	gional Spat	ial Strate	egy for the South West (please specify)
	6		132	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 6.1.10 / H2

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 6.1.10 / H2

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

Policy H2 provides too much of a one size fits all approach which may be unrealistic for small developments in rural areas

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

The development density expectations in policy H2 do not adequately reflect that in certain circumstances lower densities will be appropriate, particularly in rural areas where development patterns can be more loose knit and where the openness forms a critical part of the built character.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Acknowledgement within policy H2, that in some circumstances even 30 dwellings per hectare may not be appropriate.

Organisation Salisbury District Cour	ncil	Name Geoff Hob	bbs Page Number 7
I am SUPPORTING th	e Draft Regio	nal Spatial	Strategy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	5 Pa	ge: 112	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.2.5

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.2.5

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

- The second bullet point lacks clarity.
- It is unclear whether mention of "Park and Share" refers to a means for liftsharing from accessible locations such as Service Stations at junctions for long-distance commuters, using the motorway and strategic trunk roads (as with Doncaster M18 Junction 5 and in Northern Ireland) or whether it incorrectly refers to the more conventional approach of "Park and Ride".
- Park and Share is not a widely practiced approach, and is not referred to in national transport policy nor the majority of Local Transport Plans in the region.
- Little or no research has been undertaken to show support/ demand for Park and Share amongst motorists or its
 effectiveness in practice.
- Park and Ride is a proven strategy for demand management, which is effective at reducing congestion.

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

There is a need to clarify the above points to remove any possible ambiguity.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

This should refer to Park and Ride not Park and Share. Park and ride is a widely understood and practiced approach to managing congestion.

	C	Beoff Hobbs	8
I am SUPPORTING the I	Draft Regiona	I Spatial Stra	ategy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	5 Page	: 112	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.2.6

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.2.6

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 Only need to discourage long stay commuter parking in central areas of towns/ cities. Is not practical to discourage long stay commuter parking in perhipheral areas with plentiful parking and poor public transport alternatives.
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.
Fourth bullet point needs clarifying. Suggest would reword as follows (changes in Bold):
"Central area parking strategies to provide access for retail and other functions but discouraging long stay commuters who are to be encouraged to switch to other modes of travel or utilise Park and Ride where available."

Organisation Salisbury District Cour	rganisation alisbury District Council		NamePage NumberGeoff Hobbs9				
I am SUPPORTING th	e Draft	Regional S	Spatial St	trategy for the South West (please specify).			
Chapter (section):	5	Page:	112	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.2.7			

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.2.7

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 Amend first bullet point to make it clearer that this is talking about rail.
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.
"Adequate rolling stock to meet future demand for rail travel and make the best use of network capacity"

Organisation Salisbury District Council	Name Geoff Hobbs		Page Number 10
I am SUPPORTING the Draft Regi	onal Spatial Strategy	for the South West (please spe	ecify)
Chapter (section): 5 Pa	ige: 112	Policy Reference or Paragra	ph Number: 5.2.8

Section C

Г

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.2.8

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 Modify the first, second, fourth and fifth bullet points to make them more specific, and match national policy terminology – as shown below
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.
Road pricing / workplace parking levies
 Parking strategies including charges and measures such as Park and Ride Measures to improve travel choice - both capital and revenue
Travel plans, travel awareness and personalised travel planning

Organisation Salisbury District Council		Nar Geo	ne off Hobbs	S Page Number 11
I am SUPPORTING th	e Draft	Regional S	Spatial St	trategy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	5	Page:	113	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: TR1

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

······································
Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: TR1
Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 Amend policy to read as suggested text below to highlight tackling congestion and improving air quality as the key policy objectives. Surprisingly, air quality is not mentioned in any other policies. It would seem to fit well with spirit of TR1
-
•
 A second sec second second sec
 A second sec second second sec
•
•
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.
"Demand management measures will be introduced progressively in those places identified in Development Policy A, to tackle problems of urban congestion and air quality accompanied by a 'step change' in the prioritisation of public transport provision serving these places, including park and ride, 'showcase' routes, bus priority, and better integration of development proposals and public transport provision."

Organisation Salisbury District Council		Name Geoff Hobbs	Page Number 12
I am SUPPORTING th	e Draft Regi	onal Spatial Stra	tegy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	5 Pa	ige: 116	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: TR3

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: TR3

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

• This policy would be improved by the addition of an appropriate timeframe for dualling.

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

The addition of an appropriate timeframe for delivery of the dualled route (i.e. within the period to 2026, or preferably sooner) would avoid this important policy goal remaining purely aspirational. It needs the additional weight of a time frame to ensure that the Highways Agency can progress the various schemes required (including the A303 Stonehenge and Mere to Chicklade Bottom & Stockton to Wylye improvements).

Organisation Salisbury District Court	-		ne off Hobbs	Page Number
	-			
I am SUPPORTING th	e Draf	t Regional S	Spatial St	rategy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	5	Page:	118	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: TR5

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy	/ Reference	or Paragraph	Number:	TR5
		or i aragraph		

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

As Reading station is within the SE region, therefore it is not wholly the SW RSS's place to state that it should be
progressed. The decision over whether to progress a capacity improvement scheme would rest with national or
SE regional funders.

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Organisation			ne fillebbe	Page Number
Salisbury District Coun	Salisbury District Council		off Hobbs	14
I am SUPPORTING the	e Draft F	Regional S	patial St	rategy for the South West (please specify)
	_	_		
Chapter (section):	5	Page:	125	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.7.1 & 5.7.2

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 5.7.1 and 5.7.2
Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 Accessibility planning relates to access to services by a variety of modes, The wording of 5.7.1 and 5.7.2 wrongly gives the impression that accessibility strategies are mainly concerned with parking policies and standards. This is not the case and should be corrected. Section 5.7 should relate to "Setting appropriate parking standards". A new section 5.8 should deal with "Accessibility planning and social inclusion" rather than this issue seeming to be treated as an afterthought. Rural isolation is a key issue for large geographical areas of the SW region, and deserves fuller treatment within the RSS.
 The new section 5.8 on accessibility should refer to the need to tackle rural social exclusion and improve or maintain levels of rural accessibility. This is increasingly an issue as escalating bus operation costs and the lack of a replacement funding stream for the Rural Bus Challenge fund mean that cuts will be made to existing public transport services in rural areas.
 5.8 should refer to the importance of existing rural transport services, to paricular groups (such as the elderly) including voluntary sector provision and the need to continue to develop innovative solutions to rural access problems, such as Demand Responsive Transport (DRT), Taxi-link services and social car schemes. 5.8 should refer to concessionary fares schemes as a means of delivering social inclusion.
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Organisation Salisbury District Council	Name John Meeker	Page Number 15	
I am SUPPORTING the Draft Re	gional Spatial Strateg	gy for the South West (please specify)	
Chapter (section): 6	Page: 131	Policy Ref or Para No: H1 & supporting text	

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: H1 and supporting text

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 The policy in respect of afforable housing requirements is supported and the measures set out in the supporting text provide sound guidance for the preparation of LDF policies
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Salisbury District endures chronic affordability problems in the housing market. The establishment of a 30% minimum affordable housing requirement - with a 60% upper requirment provides an adequate range for Local policy makers to include, although in making use of requirments above 40% care will need to be exercised to ensure that development viability is not undermined.
The establishment of specific tenures, site size thresholds and other detailed matters within LDFs is welcomed enable local circumstances to be reflected - informed by Housing Needs and Housing Market Assessments.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Organisation Salisbury District Cour	rganisation alisbury District Council			Page Number 16
I am SUPPORTING th	ne Draf	t Regional S	Spatial St	rategy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	6	Page:	136	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: HE3

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

• The approach is supported, although concern exists that there is a lack of guidance as to what this might entail.

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

It is suggested that the RSS is supplemented by guidance (SPD or similar) which sets out the issues to be addressed in a Health Impact Assessment

Organisation Salisbury District Council	Name John Meeker		Page Number 17
I am supporting or opposing the Dr	aft Regional Spatial S	Strategy for the South West (p	lease specify)
Chapter (section): 7 Pa	ige: 144	Policy Reference or Parage	raph Number: ENV1

Section C

our comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West
Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: ENV1
Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 It is considered that the policy and supporting text in this section could give support to local landscape designations. Whilst the may not be recognised nationally, the landscape setting in many areas is an important part of distinctive local character.
•
•
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.
Introduction of a reference to the value of local landscape designations – identified in LDFs - as being of value to local communities

Organisation Salisbury District Council	Name John Meeker	Page Number
		10
I am SUPPORTING the Draft Regi	onal Spatial Strategy for t	the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section): 7 Pa	age: 154-157	Policy Ref or Para No: RE1, RE3-RE5

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Tour comments on the Drait Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West
Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: RE1, RE3-RE5
Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
 The stance to renewable energy production is welcomed. The emphasis in RE4 is supported to ensure that the necessary drive for renewable energy development does not damage other environmental assest, particularly sensitive landscapes.
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Organisation Salisbury District Cou	ncil	Nai Joh	ne In Meeker	Page Number 19
I am SUPPORTING t	he Draf	Regional S	Spatial Stra	tegy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	7	Page:	158	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 7.3.13 / RE6

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: 7.3.13 / RE6

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

 The measures proposed are broadly supported, however it would be useful for the RSS to be supplemented by formal guidance to define the scale of water efficiency measures expected by the EA.

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Planning Authorities would seek to ensure that water supply does not become a constraint on development. To this end, by being clear on what will become the norm in water conservation measures, developments can be adequately assessed.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Commitment to produce some binding guidance to ensure new development incorporates the level of water efficiency expected by the EA

Organisation Salisbury District Cour	ncil	Nar Joh	ne n Meekei	Page Number 20
I am OPPOSING the I	Draft Re	gional Spa	tial Strate	egy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	7	Page:	151	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: F1

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: F1

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.

Policy F1 appears clumsily worded

Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.

Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.

Alternative wording suggested -

Direct development to take account of flooding risk through appropriate location, layout and design.

Organisation Salisbury District Cou	ncil	Name John Meeke	r Page Number 21
I am supporting or op	posing the Dra	aft Regional S	patial Strategy for the South West (please specify)
Chapter (section):	8 Pa	ge: 179	Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: E4

Section C

Your comments on the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West

Policy Reference or Paragraph Number: E4

Please use this space to assist the EiP Panel and other respondents by providing a short summary of your comments.
The sequential reuse of redundant employment sites is welcomed.
Please use this space to explain to the EiP Panel why you support/oppose this particular part of the Draft RSS.
Salisbury District has suffered a chronic loss of employment land to residential development. It is acknowledged that some sites are poorly located and would warrant redevelopment, however there are increasing numbers of sites which could be considered unconstrained and strategic in nature which are regulalrly being lost. A sequential approach will require that landowners exhaust all employment opportunities instead of considering housing development as the only option. This will ensure that an appropriate balance of uses is maintained within settlements and, hence, improve self containment
Please use this space to set out any changes to policy/supporting text in this part of the Draft RSS you would like to see.